Pre-Development Tree Survey & Assessment

Of

Heol y Glyn

Prepared By



Ref: TDA/2549/TS&A/RhC/05.20

May 2020

Pre-Development Tree Survey & Assessment

Of

Heol y Glyn

For

Enzo's Homes

Prepared by

Tirlun Design Associates Ltd
The Granary
Newland Fawr Farm
Llangan
CF35 5DN

Tel: 01446 789367 Fax: 01446 448119

E-Mail: admin@tirlun-design.co.uk

Document Approval

This document has been prepared and checked in accordance with Tirlun Design Associates' quality control system

Status: 1st Draft

Author: Mr. Rhodri Crandon

Approved: Date: May 2020

May 2020

CONTENTS

1.0 Supporting Information and Explanatory Keys

- 1.1 INTRODUCTION
 - 1.11 Generally
 - 1.12 Purpose of Survey
 - 1.13 The Site
- 1.2 METHODOLOGY
 - 1.21 Generally
 - 1.22 Conventions and Assumptions
 - 1.23 Data Summary
- 1.3 KEY
 - 1.31 Survey Classification Key
 - 1.32 Tree Category Description Key
 - 1.33 Tree Survey Species Key
- 2.0 Collected Data
- 2.1 TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE
- 3.0 Conclusion
- 3.1 TREE SURVEY SUMMARY
- 4.0 Appendix 1

Drawing no. TDA.2549.01 - Tree Survey Plan (BS5837:2012)

	Pre-Development Tree Survey & Assessment – Heol y Glyn
1.0	Supporting Information and Explanatory Keys

1.1 INTRODUCTION

1.11 Generally

Trees are of vital importance to the landscape and are essential for enhancing the rural and urban environment. They provide scenic character, visual amenity and are vital habitats for dependent wildlife populations.

The retention of existing trees not only benefits a site and its surroundings but also raises the overall quality of an area and enhances property value.

Trees which are damaged, or their immediate environment significantly changed may subsequently decline and die resulting in all positive benefits being lost.

1.12 Purpose of Survey

Tirlun Design Associates were appointed by Enzo's Homes to undertake a pre-development survey and assessment of existing trees located on and adjacent to their site on land off Heol y Glyn, Neath.

This document is a record of the survey and its purpose is to provide the client with a concise presentation of the position, dimensions, condition and future life expectancy of existing trees on site.

Recommendations are provided on arboricultural works which should be undertaken in the interests of safety, or as part of sound management practice. However, the tree survey conducted and the results presented within this report are specifically designed to meet the BS5837 standard, and are not a substitute for either a full Tree Safety Survey or Management Plan designed to provide a detailed appraisal of the risk and liability associated with responsibility for individual trees or groups of trees.

The survey is illustrated by drawing no. TDA.2549.01 which shows the location and assessed category of surveyed trees.

1.13 The Site

The site comprises a single parcel of rough, made up ground off Heol y Glyn. The predominant species are Oak, Ash, Alder and Willow.

1.2 METHODOLOGY

1.21 Generally

The on-site survey of trees was carried out by Rhodri Crandon B.A. (Hons), Dip LA, who is experienced in arboriculture. He was assisted by Andrew Perrigo BSc (Hons), Dip LA. The survey was undertaken during May 2020.

Site data was recorded onto standardised survey forms and subsequently transposed in the office onto fair copies of the relevant forms for inclusion within this document. The location of individual trees and tree groups is based on a digital Ordnance Survey map modified as necessary by the topographical survey. The record drawing is at a scale of 1:250 @ A1, is numbered TDA.2549.01 and is included within Appendix 1.

Trees were located, numbered, identified and their height determined by clinometer measurements. The trunk/stem diameters and crown clearances of trees were measured using a 10m tape. Branch spread was taken from the cardinal points with a 10m tape.

Age, structural/physical condition, management recommendations and estimated contribution in years were judged from an examination of the tree or tree group in question and each tree was categorised according to standardised criteria i.e. BS5837: 2012.

1.22 Conventions and Assumptions

In the pursuit of this survey, assumptions have been made and conventions followed.

1.23 Data Summary

The collected data has been summarised and plotted on drawing no. TDA.2549.01 at a scale of 1:250 @ A0 (Appendix 1). The drawing identifies the trees by number and category as follows:

Category A High Quality and Value Retention Most Desirable

Category B Moderate Quality and Value Retention Desirable
Category C Low Quality and Value Could Be Retained

Category U Remove Unsuitable for retention

The drawings are intended to reduce the need for reference to the text. The user of the survey can clearly identify the merit of each tree from the drawings and, if required, refer to the specific notes in the Tree Survey Schedule.

1.3 KEY

1.31 Survey Classification Key

Tree no. Numerical reference for tree on tree survey plan.

Species. Common name with abbreviation of the scientific name (see tree

species key).

Height. In metres.

Stem dia. For single stem trees, diameter of trunk is measured in millimetres at 1.5

metres above adjacent ground level (on sloping ground to be taken

on the upslope side of the tree base)

For multi stemmed trees with 5 stems or less each stem is measured in millimetres and measurements included in the survey schedule. For multi stemmed trees with 6 stems or more each stem is measured and

a mean average included in the survey schedule.

Branch spread. Branch spread was taken from the cardinal points using a 10m tape.

Crown Clearance. Height in metres of crown clearance above adjacent ground level

(to inform on ground clearance, crown stem ratio and shading).

Age. Assessment of the age of each tree:

Y = Young
EM = Early Mature
M = Mature
OM = Over Mature
V = Veteran

Physical Condition. Assesses the physical condition of each tree:

G = Good F = Fair P = poor D = Dead

Structural Condition. Classification of the structural condition of each tree.

DB = Dead

DW = Characteristic dead wood

WS = Weak structure
UB = Unbalanced
RC = Regrown coppice
TD = Trunk Decay
CD = Crown Decay

BD = Basal Decay
PD = Physical Damage
RP = Regrown Pollard

Tree Survey Key - Cont'd

V1 = High Vigour V2 = Normal Vigour V3 = Low Vigour

Management

Recommendations. Preliminary management recommendations including further

investigation of suspected defects that require more detailed

assessment and potential for wildlife habitat.

Est. remaining

Contribution. Estimated remaining contribution in years:

<10, 10-20, 20-40 40>

Category. U or A to C category grading to be recorded on the tree survey plan.

(Refer to Tree Category Description Key).

1.32 Tree Category Description Key

Table 1	Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where a	ppropriate)					
Trees unsuitable for retention	(see Note)						
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	 Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality 						
	see 4.5.7 .	g or potential conservation value which it mig	·				
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation				
Trees to be considered for rete	ention						
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative o other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)				
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value				
Category C	Unremarkable trees of very limited	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but	Trees with no material				
Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	conservation or other cultural value				

1.33 Tree Survey Species Key

A ca	Acer campestre	Рca	Populus canadensis
AS	Acer Saccharinum	Рсо	Pyrus communis
Асо	Alnus cordata	Peu	Populus euramericana
A gr	Acer griseum	Ρh	Platanus hispanica
A hi	Aesculus hippocastanum	PΙ	Prunus Iusitanica
A pl	Acer platanoides	Ρn	Pinus nigra
A plc	Acer platanoides 'Crimson King'	P pi	Pinus pinea
A ps	Acer pseudoplatanus	P n lt	Populus nigra 'Italica'
B da	Buddleja davdii	Pr	Pinus radiata
Вре	Betula pendula	P se	Prunus serrula
Вра	Betula papyrifera	P sp	Prunus spinosa
Bs	Buxus sempervirens	P sy	Pinus sylvestris
B uj	Betula utilis jaquemontii	P ta	Populus tacamchacca
Ca'G'	Cedrus atlantica 'Glauca'	P tr	Populus tremula
C av	Corylus avellana	Qc	Quercus coccinea
Cd	Cedrus deodora	Q ce	Quercus cerris
Cb	Carpinus betulus	Qil	Quercus ilex
СІ	Cotoneaster lacteus	Q pe	Quercus petraea
C la'E'	Cham. Lawsonia 'Elwoodii'	Q ro	Quercus robur
CLe	Cupressocyparis 'Leylandii'	Q ru	Quercus rubra
C ma	Cupressus macrocarpa	R ps	Robinia pseudoacacia
C mo	Crataegus monogyna	Rt	Rhus Typhina
Сох	Crataegus oxycantha	Sa	Salix alba
C sa	Castanea sativa	Sar	Sorbus aria
Eg	Eucalyptus gunnii	SarL	Sorbus aria 'Lutescens'
Fc	Ficus carica	Sau	Sorbus aucuparia
Fex	Fraxinus excelsior	S bt	Salix babylonica 'Tortuosa'
Fep	Fraxinus excelsior pendula	Sca	Salix caprea
F sy	Fagus sylvatica	Sci	Salix cinerea
F sy 'P'	Fagus sylvatica 'Purpurea'	S da	Salix daphnoides
G tr	Gleditsia triacanthos	S fr	Salix fragilis
laq	llex aquifolium	S in	Sorbus intermedia
Jre	Juglans regina	S ni	Sambucus nigra
Lan	Laburnum anagyroides	S pu	Salix purpurea
Ln	Laurus nobilis	Sse	Sequoia sempervirens
Lt	Liriodendron tulipifera	Svi	Salix viminalis
M cv	Malus (cultivar)	S vit	Salix vitellina
M gr	Magnolia grandiflora	T ba	Taxus baccata
M gl	Metasequoia glyptostroboides	T b'F'	Taxus baccata 'Fastigiata'
M sy	Malus sylvestris	Тсо	Tilia cordata
PsA	Prunus subhirtella 'Autumnalis'	Teuch	Tilia euchlora
P ab	Picea abies	Teur	Tilia europaea
P av	Prunus avium	U gl	Ulmus glabra

Pre-Development	Troo Survoy	8. Assassment	Haaly	(Clyn
Pre-Development	nee survey		- neory	y Giyii

2.0 Collected Data

2.1 TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

Tree Survey Schedule to be read in conjunction with Tree Survey Key, Tree Category Description Key, Tree Species Key and drawing no. TDA.2549.01.

Tirlun Design Associates Ltd

Tree Survey Schedule (BS5837:2012)

Site: Heol y Glyn Arboricultural Consultants/Surveyors: RhC / AMP

Date of Survey: May 2020 Sheet Number: 1 of 3

Tree / Tag no.	Species	Height (m)	Stem dia.(mm)	Branch spread (m)	Crown clearance (m)	Age	Physical condition	Structural condition	Management recommendations	Est.remaining contribution (years)	Category
W1	Fex, Ag, Salix Spp.	N/A	400 (Max)	N 4 E 3 S 4 W 3	N/A	EM/ M	F/P	WS,UB, PD,DW, V2/V3	Monitor lean into site. Ash trees showing evidence of die-back. Remove	Ag & Salix = 40+ Fex = <10	C 2-3
G1	Salix Spp. Cav Ag, Bp	14 (Av)	4000 (Max)	N 2.5 E 2.5 S 2.5 W 2.5	0.5	EM/ M	F	WS,UB, V2	Monitor lean into site	40+	B 2-3
T1	Ag	16	400,175 400,200,200 ,175 (Ms x 6)	N 7 E 4 S 7 W 5	2	M	F	WS,V2	None	40+	B 2-3
Т2	Salix Spp.	8	300,275 (Ms x 2)	N 7.5 E 4 S 0 W 4	0	M	Р	WS,UB, V2	Partially fallen – growing horizontally	20-40	C 2-3
Т3	Salix Spp.	12	300,300,200 (Ms x 3)	N 4 E 5 S 3 W 2	3	М	F	WS,UB V2	None	40+	C 2-3
W2	Ag, Qro, Salix Spp.	N/A	300 (Av)	N N/A E N/A S N/A W N/A	N/A	EM/ M	F	DW,WS, UB,V2	None	40+	B 2-3
T4	Fex	12 (approx)	300 (approx)	N 3 E 3 S 3 W3	2	EM/ M	F/P	WS,UB, V2	Remove for benefit of T5	20-40	U

Tirlun Design Associates Ltd

Tree Survey Schedule (BS5837:2012)

Site: Heol y Glyn Arboricultural Consultants/Surveyors: RhC / AMP

Date of Survey: May 2020 Sheet Number: 2 of 3

Tree / Tag no.	Species	Height (m)	Stem dia.(mm)	Branch spread (m)	Crown clearance (m)	Age	Physical condition	Structural condition	Management recommendations	Est.remaining contribution (years)	Category
5	Qro	15 (approx)	400 (approx)	N 3 E 3 S 3 W 3	6	EM/ M	F	DW,V2	Remove deadwood & Ivy	40+	B 2-3
6	Qro	15 (approx)	300, 150 (Ms x 2) (approx)	N 2 E 2 S 2 W 2	8	EM	Р	DW,WS, V2	None	40+	C 2-3
7	Fex	15 (approx)	300,200 (Ms x 2) (approx)	N 1.5 E 1.5 S 1.5 W 1.5	7	EM/ M	F/P	WS,V2	Remove Ivy, monitor for signs of Ash die-back	40+	C 2-3
8	Fex	14 (approx)	250	N 3 E 3 S 3 W 3	7	EM	Р	UB,V3	Showing evidence of die- back. Remove	<10	U
W3	Ag, Qro, Fex, Salix Spp.	N/A	300 (Av)	N N/A E N/A S N/A W N/A	N/A	M	F	UB,WS, DW,V2	None	40+	B 2-3
9	Fex	12	250	N 4 E 3 S 4 W 5	5	EM	Р	DW,UB, V3	Showing evidence of die- back. Remove	<10	U
10	Qro	13	400	N 7 E 2 S 3 W7	5	EM/ M	F	DW,UB, V2,	Remove Ivy & deadwood	40+	B 2-3

Tirlun Design Associates Ltd

Tree Survey Schedule (BS5837:2012)

Site: Heol y Glyn Arboricultural Consultants/Surveyors: RhC / AMP

Date of Survey: May 2020 Sheet Number: 3 of 3

Tree / Tag no.	Species	Height (m)	Stem dia.(mm)	Branch spread (m)	Crown clearance (m)	Age	Physical condition	Structural condition	Management recommendations	Est.remaining contribution (years)	Category
11	Qro	14	400,400, 400,300 (Ms x 4)	N 6 E 5 S 4 W 7	3	M	F	DW, WS, V2	Remove deadwood & Ivy	40+	A 1-2-3
12	Aps	13	300,300 (Ms x 2)	N 8 E 4 S 3 W 3	6	M	Р	, WS, V2	Remove deadwood & lvy	20-40	C 2-3

Dec Davida		T C		0 /		بلمملا	. (1)
Pre-Develo	oment	nee s	uivev	\propto $^{\prime}$	455622HIGHL	– neor	v Givi

3.0 Conclusion

3.1 TREE SURVEY SUMMARY

During May 2020 a total of 12 no. individual trees, 1 no. tree groups and 3 no. woodlands were surveyed and assessed at the Heol y Glyn site.

Following survey and assessment in accordance with the British Standard for Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction (BS 5837: 2012), trees were categorised as follows: -

Category A High Quality and Value Retention Most Desirable

Category B Moderate Quality and Value Retention Desirable
Category C Low Quality and Value Could Be Retained

Category U Remove Unsuitable for Retention

Of the individual trees, 1 no. was assessed as Category A (High Quality & Value), 3 no. were assessed as Category B (Moderate Quality & Value), 5 no. were assessed as Category C (Low Quality and Value) and 3 no. were assessed as Category U (Unsuitable for Retention).

Of the tree groups, G1 was assessed as Category B (Moderate Quality and Value).

Of the woodlands, W1 was assessed as Category C (Low Quality and Value), W2 & W3 were assessed as Category B (Moderate Quality & Value).

There are no trees of particular note on site.

The majority of Ash trees within W1 and across the site are displaying characteristics of Ash Dieback (Hymenoscyphus fraxineus). These trees should be removed and replaced with new native species. Priority should be given to the felling of affected Ash trees within W1, due to the proximity of the adjacent road and footway.

End of report: May 2020 (Valid for 12 months from survey date.)

Pre-Development	Tree Survey	& Assessment -	- Heol v Glvr

4.0 Appendix 1

