

## **Dai Richards**

---

**From:** "Dai Richards" <dai@rugbyrelics.com>  
**To:** <contactus@npt.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 10 September 2020 08:16 PM  
**Subject:** Planning Department complaint - fabricated information  
 Reference - Planning Committee meeting 8/9/2020

20200910-EM-NPTC-complaint-ball-2

Dear NPTC

In a planning committee meeting dated 8/9/2020 the planning officer Steve Ball fabricated information and presented it as true fact to the planning committee. He then confirmed part of this fabricated information when questioned as to its integrity by the chairperson of the planning committee and later on in the segment he went on to fabricate more information in relation to the representations made by objectors to the plans.

At a point of around 1:12:35 in the meeting, the Planning Officer Steve Ball stated:

"and just to re-iterate the point you just made in terms of the correspondence being received late thats why the ammendment sheet doesn't refer to that at all because its outside of the process and protocol, but you will note that one of the registered speakers is a Mr Richards who is the author of the submission that came round so no doubt Mr Richards will be able to raise whatever he wishes in his presentation to you and then obviously at that point you will be able to draw any matters or any issues you wish to raise with me as a consequence."

The chair then questioned Mr Ball

Mr Ball, I just wondered how you knew that Mr Richards was the author because I couldn't see anywhere on it that somebody had signed it?

I believe that came though from his email is my understanding and we've also had correspondence from Mr Richards throughout the course of this application which has raised if not all certainly almost all of the matters raised within the ????? so what I was going to say under the representation section is quite a lengthy representation summaries been provided and that I would say summarises everything within the submissions which is largely raising significant concerns about land contamination and obviously we will come to that in my response and any discussion we may have."

This section ends at around 1.13.59 in the meeting where Mr Ball hands over to the chair.

During the first section Mr Ball fabricates the following information:

Mr Ball - "you will note that one of the registered speakers is a Mr Richards who is the author of the submission that came round"

It is impossible for Mr Ball to be aware of the author of this document because this information has not been released. Therefore this information is fabricated.

"I believe that came though from his email is my understanding" is clarification that he is 100% sure that Mr Richards is the document author rather than the delivery of the information. However the document was only available in hard copy prior to this date so it is impossible for him to have received it from us (the publishers) by email.

Later Mr Ball says:

"quite a lengthy representation summaries been provided and that I would say summarises everything within the submissions"

Mr Ball is fabricating information again. 20+ objections have identified the process in which the planning department was informed that the Neath Port Talbot Council had broken the Environmental Protection Act 1990.

This section information can be found on the following youtube video.

[https://youtu.be/H4A\\_0K-6DiI](https://youtu.be/H4A_0K-6DiI)

The video at present is unlisted and I'm offering the council an opportunity to provide evidence to refute these allegations before I publish the video for public viewing.

regards - Dai Richards, 66 Brynhyfryd, Glynneath, SA11 5BA

[dai@rugbyrelics.com](mailto:dai@rugbyrelics.com)

[www.dai-richards.wales](http://www.dai-richards.wales)

0797 457 4167  
01639 729000

This is not the first time that Mr Ball has fabricated information. we have evidence that he has done so on several occasions.

This Acceptable evidence from your council